

From: s 22(1)(a)(ii)
To: s 22(1)(a)(ii)
Subject: RE: Apologies, my computer started an auto reboot just when we were saying our goodbyes! [SEC=OFFICIAL]
Date: Tuesday, 20 February 2024 11:33:11 AM
Attachments: [image006.jpg](#)
[image007.jpg](#)
[image008.jpg](#)
[image009.png](#)
[image011.gif](#)
[image012.jpg](#)
[image013.jpg](#)
[image014.jpg](#)
[image015.png](#)
[image001.png](#)

No worries - thanks s 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au>
Sent: Tuesday, February 20, 2024 10:45 AM
To: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>
Subject: Apologies, my computer started an auto reboot just when we were saying our goodbyes! [SEC=OFFICIAL]

You don't often get email from s 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au. [Learn why this is important](#)

OFFICIAL

From: s 22(1)(a)(ii)
Sent: Monday, 19 February 2024 5:04 PM
To: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>; s 47E(d) @pmc.gov.au>
Cc: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>; s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>; s 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au>
Subject: RE: Practitioner training [SEC=OFFICIAL]

OFFICIAL

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

Following up my voice message. Looking forward to working with you and your team.

Regards,

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

s 22(1)(a)(ii) | Adviser, Office of Impact Analysis
 Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet
 p. s 22(1)(a)(ii)
 Ngunnawal Country, 1 National Circuit Barton ACT 2600 | PO Box 6500 CANBERRA ACT 2600
 e. s 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au w. pmc.gov.au



The Department acknowledges and pays respect to the past, present and emerging Elders and Traditional Custodians of Country, and the continuation of cultural, spiritual and educational practices of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.

From: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>
Sent: Monday, 19 February 2024 4:23 PM
To: s 47E(d) @pmc.gov.au>
Cc: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>; s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>; s 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au>
Subject: RE: Practitioner training [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Hi s 22(1)(a)(ii)

Apologies for my slow reply.

As part of my work, my section may need to undertake an impact analysis relating to upcoming changes to requirements specified in the Australian Standards for the Export of Livestock. At this early stage, we are determining if changes are indicated, and if so, the extent of the changes (across a number of standards and requirements).

I have previously assisted in a regulation impact statement process but wanted to get a refresher and see if there have been changes to the main 'questions' and other processes.

Thanks for any advice you can provide.

Kind regards

s 22(1)

From: s 47E(d) @pmc.gov.au>
Sent: Wednesday, February 7, 2024 10:55 AM
To: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>
Cc: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>; s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>; s 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au>
Subject: RE: Practitioner training [SEC=OFFICIAL]

You don't often get email from s 47E(d) @pmc.gov.au. [Learn why this is important](#)

OFFICIAL

Good morning s 22(1)(a)(ii)

Thank you for getting in touch about Practitioner training.

We are able to offer the training online or in person and it is completely free for APS.

Was there a particularly concept that you were enquiring on?

Kind regards,

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

Training Coordinator

Training Team | Office of Impact Analysis

Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet

p. s 22(1)(a)(ii)





The Department acknowledges and pays respect to the past, present and emerging Elders and Traditional Custodians of Country, and the continuation of cultural, spiritual and educational practices of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.

----- Original Message -----

From: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>;
Received: Tue Feb 06 2024 09:33:45 GMT+1100 (Australian Eastern Daylight Time)
To: s 47E(d) @pmc.gov.au>; s 47E(d) @pmc.gov.au>;
Cc: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>; s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>;
Subject: Practitioner training [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Good morning

I'm getting in touch to inquire about the Practitioner training, listed on your website.

How is the training offered – online/in person or via video resources? What is the cost of training?

Many thanks for any help you can give me.

Kind regards

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

Director (A/g) | Senior Veterinary Officer

Animal Welfare Branch

Plant and Live Animal Exports, Welfare and Regulation Division

Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Phone: s 22(1)(a)(ii) Email: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au

Mail: GPO Box 858 CANBERRA ACT 2601

----- IMPORTANT - This email and any attachments have been issued by the Commonwealth of Australia (Commonwealth). The material transmitted is for the use of the intended recipient only and may contain confidential, legally privileged, copyright or personal information. You should not copy, use or disclose it without authorisation from the Commonwealth. It is your responsibility to check any attachments for viruses and defects before opening or forwarding them. If you are not an intended recipient, please contact the sender of this email at once by return email and then delete both messages. Unintended recipients must not copy, use, disclose, rely on or publish this email or attachments. The Commonwealth is not liable for any loss or damage resulting from unauthorised use or dissemination of, or any reliance on, this email or attachments. If you have received this e-mail as part of a valid mailing list and no longer want to receive a message such as this one, advise the sender by return e-mail accordingly. This notice should not be deleted or altered -----

IMPORTANT: This message, and any attachments to it, contains information that is confidential and may also be the subject of legal professional or other privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you must not review, copy, disseminate or disclose its contents to any other party or take action in reliance of any material contained within it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by return email informing them of the mistake and delete all copies of the message from your computer system.

IMPORTANT: This message, and any attachments to it, contains information that is confidential and may also be the subject of legal professional or other privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you must not review, copy, disseminate or disclose its contents to any other party or take action in reliance of any material contained within it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by return email informing them of the mistake and delete all copies of the message from your computer system.

From: s 22(1)(a)(ii)
To: s 22(1)(a)(ii)
Subject: impact analysis-like processes [SEC=OFFICIAL]
Date: Friday, 15 March 2024 11:17:29 AM

Hi s 22(1)(a)(ii)

You helped us last year with a review of ASEL (OIA23-05120 – 2023).

We are planning the next update, due to be completed by the end of 2026.

I understand that previously review processes could be considered a RIS-like process.

Is there a similar/comparable process with the new Impact Analysis?

If so, would I be able to discuss this with you at a suitable time?

Kind regards

s 22(1)(a)
(ii)

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

Assistant Director | Senior Veterinary Officer

Animal Welfare Branch

Plant and Live Animal Exports, Welfare and Regulation Division

Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Phone: s 22(1)(a)(ii) s 22(1)(a)(ii) Email: s 22(1)(a)(ii) [@aff.gov.au](mailto:s 22(1)(a)(ii)@aff.gov.au)

Mail: GPO Box 858 CANBERRA ACT 2601

----- IMPORTANT - This email and any attachments have been issued by the Commonwealth of Australia (Commonwealth). The material transmitted is for the use of the intended recipient only and may contain confidential, legally privileged, copyright or personal information. You should not copy, use or disclose it without authorisation from the Commonwealth. It is your responsibility to check any attachments for viruses and defects before opening or forwarding them. If you are not an intended recipient, please contact the sender of this email at once by return email and then delete both messages. Unintended recipients must not copy, use, disclose, rely on or publish this email or attachments. The Commonwealth is not liable for any loss or damage resulting from unauthorised use or dissemination of, or any reliance on, this email or attachments. If you have received this e-mail as part of a valid mailing list and no longer want to receive a message such as this one, advise the sender by return e-mail accordingly. This notice should not be deleted or altered -----

From: s 22(1)(a)(ii)
To: s 22(1)(a)(ii)
Subject: RE: As discussed - page 47 [SEC=OFFICIAL]
Date: Tuesday, 19 March 2024 10:14:41 AM
Attachments: [image001.jpg](#)
[image002.jpg](#)
[image003.jpg](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)

Morning s 22(1)(a)(ii)

Thanks for the chat yesterday and for sending this through.

Kind regards

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au>
Sent: Monday, March 18, 2024 4:24 PM
To: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>
Subject: As discussed - page 47 [SEC=OFFICIAL]

OFFICIAL

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

| Adviser, Office of Impact Analysis

Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet

p. s 22(1)(a)(ii)

Ngunnawal Country, 1 National Circuit Barton ACT 2600 | PO Box 6500 CANBERRA ACT 2600

e. s 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au w. pmc.gov.au



The Department acknowledges and pays respect to the past, present and emerging Elders and Traditional Custodians of Country, and the continuation of cultural, spiritual and educational practices of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.

IMPORTANT: This message, and any attachments to it, contains information that is confidential and may also be the subject of legal professional or other privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you must not review, copy, disseminate or disclose its contents to any other party or take action in reliance of any material contained within it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by return email informing them of the mistake and delete all copies of the message from your computer system.

----- IMPORTANT - This email and any attachments have been issued by the Commonwealth of Australia (Commonwealth). The material transmitted is for the use of the intended recipient only and may contain confidential, legally privileged, copyright or personal information. You should not copy, use or disclose it without authorisation from the Commonwealth. It is your responsibility to check any attachments for viruses and defects before opening or forwarding them. If you are not an intended recipient, please contact the sender of this email at once by return email and then delete both messages.

Unintended recipients must not copy, use, disclose, rely on or publish this email or attachments. The Commonwealth is not liable for any loss or damage resulting from unauthorised use or dissemination of, or any reliance on, this email or attachments. If you have received this e-mail as part of a valid mailing list and no longer want to receive a message such as this one, advise the sender by return e-mail accordingly. This notice should not be deleted or altered -----

From: s 22(1)(a)(ii)
To: s 22(1)(a)(ii)
Cc: s 22(1)(a)(ii)
Subject: RE: decision maker for ASEL 4.0 review [SEC=OFFICIAL]
Date: Thursday, 25 July 2024 10:31:13 AM
Attachments: [image001.jpg](#)
[image002.jpg](#)
[image003.jpg](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image006.jpg](#)
[image007.png](#)

Very helpful – thank you very much s 22(1)(a)(ii)
 We will remain in touch with you and forward a Preliminary Analysis as the next step.

Kind regards

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2024 10:13 AM
To: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>
Cc: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au>; s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>
Subject: RE: decision maker for ASEL 4.0 review [SEC=OFFICIAL]

OFFICIAL

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

YES re. Australian Government level decision permits a Dep Sec letter of equivalency to be considered?

That said, note the Impact Analysis Equivalent (IAE) process is a two-step process – here is a link to relevant material on the OIA website...

<https://oia.pmc.gov.au/resources/forms-and-templates/certification-letters-seek-oias-agreement-certify-relevant-review-iae>

I've also attached to this email Template Certification Letters, and the OIA's [Impact Analysis Equivalent guidance note](#)

I have cut and pasted below some material from the link provided above and **emphasised some important points** – in particular, the need for prior agreement from the OIA (i.e. ahead of the OIA receiving a dep sec signed certification letter). Note from the template for the letter seeking prior agreement – this letter can be signed at EL2 level.

Happy to discuss

Regards,

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

The *Australian Government Guide to Policy Impact Analysis* describes some special cases in the Impact Analysis (IA) process, one of which is that an IA is not required where a review or other similar analysis has been prepared through a process equivalent to that required in the Guide and certified by Secretary, Deputy Secretary, or Chief Executive as having addressed all seven Impact Analysis questions. This approach – known as an Impact Analysis

Equivalent (IAE) – aims to remove duplication between comprehensive review processes and Impact Analyses.

The process is a two stage process:

- **Seek OIA's agreement to certify relevant Review as an IAE.** Agencies may certify a document as an IAE only with prior agreement of the OIA. The OIA may agree to the use of an IAE if certain criteria are met. Where the criteria are not met, the OIA may request additional analysis or advise that the Review is not suitable to be certified as an IAE.
- **Self-assess whether the Review has followed a similar process to that required for Impact Analysis.** This self-assessment is done by way of a Secretary, Deputy Secretary, or Chief Executive certifying in writing to the OIA that the Review has met IAE requirements. All regulatory costs must be quantified and either presented in the independent review or the certification letter. The OIA does not assess the quality of analysis contained in the review or additional analysis, but will assess whether the problem and option(s) analysed in the IAE are relevant to the proposal, the review addresses all 7 IA questions and whether the IAE or certification letter identifies regulatory costs.

Agencies are encouraged to consult the OIA when preparing review terms of reference to ensure that each of the seven IA elements will be addressed.

Further information on this process can be found in the [Impact Analysis Equivalent guidance note](#)

From: § 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>
Sent: Thursday, 25 July 2024 9:25 AM
To: § 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au>
Cc: § 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au>; § 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>
Subject: RE: decision maker for ASEL 4.0 review [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Thanks so much for this explanation § 22(1)(a)(ii)

So, does this mean that an Australian Government level decision permits a Dep Sec letter of equivalency to be considered?

Thanks again and regards

§ 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: § 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au>
Sent: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 3:32 PM
To: § 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>
Cc: § 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au>; § 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>; § 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>
Subject: RE: decision maker for ASEL 4.0 review [SEC=OFFICIAL]

OFFICIAL

§ 22(1)(a)(ii)

RE: 'Impact Analysis will no longer be mandatorily finalised with the Office of Impact Analysis, unless it is requested by the relevant decision maker(s)'.

The changed arrangement referred to above **applies only to** Impact Analysis requirements for **decisions taken by intergovernmental decision-making bodies**.

Following our meeting on Wednesday, OIA's understanding is that policy decisions arising from the ASEL 4 Review **will not be via an intergovernmental body** –rather, the decision making is solely at the 'Australian Government level'.

Regards,

§ 22(1)(a)(ii)

§ 22(1)(a)(ii) | Adviser, Office of Impact Analysis

Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet

p. § 22(1)(a)(ii)

Ngunnawal Country, 1 National Circuit Barton ACT 2600 | PO Box 6500
CANBERRA ACT 2600

e. § 22(1)(a)(ii) [@pmc.gov.au](mailto:§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@pmc.gov.au) w. pmc.gov.au



The Department acknowledges and pays respect to the past, present and emerging Elders and Traditional Custodians of Country, and the continuation of cultural, spiritual and educational practices of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.

From: § 22(1)(a)(ii) [@aff.gov.au](mailto:§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@aff.gov.au)>

Sent: Wednesday, 24 July 2024 2:55 PM

To: § 22(1)(a)(ii) [@pmc.gov.au](mailto:§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@pmc.gov.au)

Cc: § 22(1)(a)(ii) [@pmc.gov.au](mailto:§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@pmc.gov.au)>; § 22(1)(a)(ii) [@aff.gov.au](mailto:§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@aff.gov.au)>;

§ 22(1)(a)(ii) [@aff.gov.au](mailto:§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@aff.gov.au)>

Subject: RE: decision maker for ASEL 4.0 review [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Thanks § 22(1)(a)(ii)

Can I clarify something please, as I know my AS will ask. For the below statement from PM and C website:

'Impact Analysis will no longer be mandatorily finalised with the Office of Impact Analysis, unless it is requested by the relevant decision maker(s)'.

So does this mean that IA should (or must?) be undertaken when changing a requirement or policy, but that the IA will not need to be 'passed' by OIA unless requested by the decision maker.

Apologies, I'm still a bit unclear on this point!
Thanks for any advice you can give.

Regards

§ 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: § 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au>
Sent: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 1:56 PM
To: § 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>
Cc: § 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au>; § 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>;
§ 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>
Subject: RE: decision maker for ASEL 4.0 review [SEC=OFFICIAL]

OFFICIAL

§ 22(1)(a)(ii)

Have discussed this end and can confirm that any new policy proposals (NPP) that may arise from the Review are in-scope of the IA framework (theory and practice) – ‘even if’ the decision maker is ‘only’ Departmental AS Band 1 Level.

Thanks for undertaking to send though Preliminary Assessment information for NPPs they may arise. As discussed, not necessarily the case that proposals arising will be assessed as requiring detailed IA – i.e. requirements to be determined.

Regards,

§ 22(1)(a)(ii)

§ 22(1)(a)(ii) | Adviser, Office of Impact Analysis
Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet
p. § 22(1)(a)(ii)
Ngunnawal Country, 1 National Circuit Barton ACT 2600 | PO Box 6500
CANBERRA ACT 2600
e. § 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au w. pmc.gov.au



The Department acknowledges and pays respect to the past, present and emerging Elders and Traditional Custodians of Country, and the continuation of cultural, spiritual and educational practices of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.

From: § 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>
Sent: Wednesday, 24 July 2024 11:58 AM
To: § 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au>
Cc: § 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au>; § 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>;
§ 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>
Subject: decision maker for ASEL 4.0 review [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Morning § 22(1)(a)(ii)

Many thanks for your time yesterday to step us through the IA requirement changes and how they might impact the ASEL 4 review.

I can confirm that the decision makers for last years ASEL 3.3 update were the Assistant Secretaries for Animal Welfare Branch and Live Animal Exports Branch.

We will follow the same consultation process this time, as discussed yesterday. When we have completed internal and targeted stakeholder consultation (likely by end of Sept 2024), we will send you a Preliminary Analysis form. In the meantime, could you please advise us (via email) if our review is inside or outside the scope of the new IA requirements.

Thanks again and regards

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

Assistant Director | Senior Veterinary Officer

Animal Welfare Branch

Plant and Live Animal Exports, Welfare and Regulation Division

Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Phone: s 22(1)(a)(ii) Email: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au

Mail: GPO Box 858 CANBERRA ACT 2601

----- IMPORTANT - This email and any attachments have been issued by the Commonwealth of Australia (Commonwealth). The material transmitted is for the use of the intended recipient only and may contain confidential, legally privileged, copyright or personal information. You should not copy, use or disclose it without authorisation from the Commonwealth. It is your responsibility to check any attachments for viruses and defects before opening or forwarding them. If you are not an intended recipient, please contact the sender of this email at once by return email and then delete both messages. Unintended recipients must not copy, use, disclose, rely on or publish this email or attachments. The Commonwealth is not liable for any loss or damage resulting from unauthorised use or dissemination of, or any reliance on, this email or attachments. If you have received this e-mail as part of a valid mailing list and no longer want to receive a message such as this one, advise the sender by return e-mail accordingly. This notice should not be deleted or altered -----

IMPORTANT: This message, and any attachments to it, contains information that is confidential and may also be the subject of legal professional or other privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you must not review, copy, disseminate or disclose its contents to any other party or take action in reliance of any material contained within it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by return email informing them of the mistake and delete all copies of the message from your computer system.

IMPORTANT: This message, and any attachments to it, contains information that is confidential and may also be the subject of legal professional or other privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you must not review, copy, disseminate or disclose its contents to any other party or take action in reliance of any material contained within it. If you

have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by return email informing them of the mistake and delete all copies of the message from your computer system.

IMPORTANT: This message, and any attachments to it, contains information that is confidential and may also be the subject of legal professional or other privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you must not review, copy, disseminate or disclose its contents to any other party or take action in reliance of any material contained within it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by return email informing them of the mistake and delete all copies of the message from your computer system.

From: s 22(1)(a)(ii)
To: s 22(1)(a)(ii)
Cc: s 22(1)(a)(ii)
Subject: RE: As discussed - page 47 [SEC=OFFICIAL]
Date: Monday, 22 July 2024 3:05:46 PM
Attachments: [image001.jpg](#)
[image002.jpg](#)
[image003.jpg](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image006.jpg](#)
[image007.png](#)

Hi s 22(1)(a)(ii)
Tomorrow between 2-3pm is great. I'll send a teams invite.
Thanks s 22(1)(a)(ii).
Kind regards

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au>
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2024 2:01 PM
To: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>
Cc: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au>
Subject: RE: As discussed - page 47 [SEC=OFFICIAL]

OFFICIAL

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

How are you placed tomorrow between 2-3pm or Thu between 2-4pm?

Regards,

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

s 22(1)(a)(ii) | Adviser, Office of Impact Analysis
Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet
p. s 22(1)(a)(ii)
Ngunnawal Country, 1 National Circuit Barton ACT 2600 | PO Box 6500 CANBERRA ACT 2600
e. s 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au w. pmc.gov.au



The Department acknowledges and pays respect to the past, present and emerging Elders and Traditional Custodians of Country, and the continuation of cultural, spiritual and educational practices of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.

From: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>
Sent: Monday, 22 July 2024 10:10 AM
To: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au>
Subject: RE: As discussed - page 47 [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Good morning s 22(1)(a)(ii)

I'm wondering if you have some available time in the next few days, could I please discuss Impact Analysis as it relates to our ASEL (Australian Standards for the Export of Livestock) review project?

Feel free to suggest a few times that suit you.

Thanks and regards

§ 22(1)(a)(i)

From: § 22(1)(a)(ii) <§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@pmc.gov.au>

Sent: Monday, March 18, 2024 4:24 PM

To: § 22(1)(a)(ii) <§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@aff.gov.au>

Subject: As discussed - page 47 [SEC=OFFICIAL]

OFFICIAL

§ 22(1)(a)(ii) | Adviser, Office of Impact Analysis

Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet

p. § 22(1)(a)(ii)

Ngunnawal Country, 1 National Circuit Barton ACT 2600 | PO Box 6500 CANBERRA ACT 2600

e. § 22(1)(a)(ii) <§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@pmc.gov.au> w. pmc.gov.au



The Department acknowledges and pays respect to the past, present and emerging Elders and Traditional Custodians of Country, and the continuation of cultural, spiritual and educational practices of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.

IMPORTANT: This message, and any attachments to it, contains information that is confidential and may also be the subject of legal professional or other privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you must not review, copy, disseminate or disclose its contents to any other party or take action in reliance of any material contained within it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by return email informing them of the mistake and delete all copies of the message from your computer system.

----- IMPORTANT - This email and any attachments have been issued by the Commonwealth of Australia (Commonwealth). The material transmitted is for the use of the intended recipient only and may contain confidential, legally privileged, copyright or personal information. You should not copy, use or disclose it without authorisation from the Commonwealth. It is your responsibility to check any attachments for viruses and defects before opening or forwarding them. If you are not an intended recipient, please contact the sender of this email at once by return email and then delete both messages. Unintended recipients must not copy, use, disclose, rely on or publish this email or attachments. The Commonwealth is not liable for any loss or damage resulting from

unauthorised use or dissemination of, or any reliance on, this email or attachments. If you have received this e-mail as part of a valid mailing list and no longer want to receive a message such as this one, advise the sender by return e-mail accordingly. This notice should not be deleted or altered -----

IMPORTANT: This message, and any attachments to it, contains information that is confidential and may also be the subject of legal professional or other privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you must not review, copy, disseminate or disclose its contents to any other party or take action in reliance of any material contained within it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by return email informing them of the mistake and delete all copies of the message from your computer system.

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

Subject: Impact analysis discussion (ASEL) [SEC=OFFICIAL]
Location: Microsoft Teams Meeting

Start: Tue 23/07/2024 2:00 PM
End: Tue 23/07/2024 2:30 PM

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Accepted

Organizer: s 22(1)(a)(ii)

Microsoft Teams [Need help?](#)

[Join the meeting now](#)

Meeting ID: 495 688 696 721

Passcode: aJvLp8

Dial in by phone

[+61 2 7208 4605,347720890#](#) Australia, Sydney

[Find a local number](#)

Phone conference ID: 347 720 890#

Join on a video conferencing device

Tenant key: 597361658@t.plcm.vc

Video ID: 135 178 247 3

[More info](#)

For organizers: [Meeting options](#) | [Reset dial-in PIN](#)

----- IMPORTANT - This email and any attachments have been issued by the Commonwealth of Australia (Commonwealth). The material transmitted is for the use of the intended recipient only and may contain confidential, legally privileged, copyright or personal information. You should not copy, use or disclose it without authorisation from the Commonwealth. It is your responsibility to check any attachments for viruses and defects before opening or forwarding them. If you are not an intended recipient, please contact the sender of this email at once by return email and then delete both messages. Unintended recipients must not copy, use, disclose, rely on or publish this email or attachments. The Commonwealth is not liable for any loss or damage resulting from unauthorised use or dissemination of, or any reliance on, this email or attachments. If you have received this e-mail as part of a valid mailing list and no longer want to receive a message such as this one, advise the sender by return e-mail accordingly. This notice should not be deleted or altered -----

From: s 22(1)(a)(ii)
To: s 22(1)(a)(ii)
Cc: s 22(1)(a)(ii)
Subject: RE: decision maker for ASEL 4.0 review [SEC=OFFICIAL]
Date: Thursday, 25 July 2024 1:31:14 PM
Attachments: [image001.jpg](#)
[image002.jpg](#)
[image003.jpg](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image006.jpg](#)
[image008.jpg](#)
[image007.png](#)

That makes a lot of sense – thank you very much again.
 Greatly appreciated your clear explanations.

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2024 12:35 PM
To: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>
Cc: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au>; s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>
Subject: RE: decision maker for ASEL 4.0 review [SEC=OFFICIAL]

OFFICIAL

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

NO! – does not mean the governments of 2 different countries (but I can appreciate that 'international' may spring to mind when reading 'inter'!)

Following is from (yet another) **OIA Guide: Regulatory Impact Analysis Guide for Ministers' Meetings and National Standard Setting Bodies.**

<https://oia.pmc.gov.au/resources/guidance-impact-analysis/regulatory-impact-analysis-guide-ministers-meetings-and-national>

*Ministers' meetings and other inter-governmental bodies facilitate cooperation, consultation and decision making between the Australian Government and state and territory and local governments in specific policy areas. These cross-jurisdictional bodies initiate, develop and monitor policy reform jointly in their areas of responsibility, and take action in the resolution of issues arising between governments. **Decisions of these bodies** are commonly given effect through laws and regulations, and ensuring their decisions are informed by evidence-based analysis undertaken in accordance with consistent and transparent principles is important.*

The above said, I'll take this opportunity to affirm / triple check my understanding that the decision maker for any policy proposals / regulatory changes arising from the ASEL 4.0 Review is not an inter-governmental body. Rather, my understanding is the decision maker is an SES Band 1 in the Australian Government Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry (DAFF).

Thanks and regards,

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

s 22(1)(a)(ii) | Adviser, Office of Impact Analysis

Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet

p. s 22(1)(a)(ii)

Gunnawal Country, 1 National Circuit Barton ACT 2600 | PO Box 6500

CANBERRA ACT 2600

e. s 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au w. pmc.gov.au



The Department acknowledges and pays respect to the past, present and emerging Elders and Traditional Custodians of Country, and the continuation of cultural, spiritual and educational practices of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.

From: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>
Sent: Thursday, 25 July 2024 11:51 AM
To: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au>
Cc: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au>; s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>
Subject: RE: decision maker for ASEL 4.0 review [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Hello again s 22(1)(a)(ii)

I've just met with my Director and have 1 more question from her – very sorry to be peppering you with questions!

She is confused as to what an intergovernmental decision-making body is – does this mean the governments of 2 different countries? Or some other example?

Thanks s 22(1)(a)(ii) – very grateful for your understanding and on-going explanation of this process.

Cheers

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2024 10:13 AM
To: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>
Cc: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au>; s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>
Subject: RE: decision maker for ASEL 4.0 review [SEC=OFFICIAL]

OFFICIAL

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

YES re. Australian Government level decision permits a Dep Sec letter of equivalency to be considered?

That said, note the Impact Analysis Equivalent (IAE) process is a two-step process – here is a link to relevant material on the OIA website...

<https://oia.pmc.gov.au/resources/forms-and-templates/certification-letters-seek-oias-agreement-certify-relevant-review-iae>

I've also attached to this email Template Certification Letters, and the OIA's [Impact Analysis Equivalent guidance note](#)

I have cut and pasted below some material from the link provided above and **emphasised some important points** – in particular, the need for prior agreement from the OIA (i.e. ahead of the OIA receiving a dep sec signed certification letter). Note from the template for the letter seeking prior agreement – this letter can be signed at EL2 level.

Happy to discuss

Regards,

§ 22(1)(a)(ii)

The *Australian Government Guide to Policy Impact Analysis* describes some special cases in the Impact Analysis (IA) process, one of which is that an IA is not required where a review or other similar analysis has been prepared through a process equivalent to that required in the Guide and certified by Secretary, Deputy Secretary, or Chief Executive as having addressed all seven Impact Analysis questions. This approach – known as an Impact Analysis Equivalent (IAE) – aims to remove duplication between comprehensive review processes and Impact Analyses.

The process is a two stage process:

- **Seek OIA's agreement to certify relevant Review as an IAE.** Agencies may certify a document as an IAE only with prior agreement of the OIA. The OIA may agree to the use of an IAE if certain criteria are met. Where the criteria are not met, the OIA may request additional analysis or advise that the Review is not suitable to be certified as an IAE.
- **Self-assess whether the Review has followed a similar process to that required for Impact Analysis.** This self-assessment is done by way of a Secretary, Deputy Secretary, or Chief Executive certifying in writing to the OIA that the Review has met IAE requirements. All regulatory costs must be quantified and either presented in the independent review or the certification letter. The OIA does not assess the quality of analysis contained in the review or additional analysis, but will assess whether the problem and option(s) analysed in the IAE are relevant to the proposal, the review addresses all 7 IA questions and whether the IAE or certification letter identifies regulatory costs.

Agencies are encouraged to consult the OIA when preparing review terms of reference to ensure that each of the seven IA elements will be addressed.

Further information on this process can be found in the [Impact Analysis Equivalent guidance note](#).

From: § 22(1)(a)(ii) <§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@aff.gov.au>
Sent: Thursday, 25 July 2024 9:25 AM
To: § 22(1)(a)(ii) <§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@pmc.gov.au>
Cc: § 22(1)(a)(ii) <§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@pmc.gov.au>; § 22(1)(a)(ii) <§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@aff.gov.au>
Subject: RE: decision maker for ASEL 4.0 review [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Thanks so much for this explanation § 22(1)(a)(ii)

So, does this mean that an Australian Government level decision permits a Dep Sec letter of equivalency to be considered?

Thanks again and regards

§ 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: § 22(1)(a)(ii) <§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@pmc.gov.au>
Sent: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 3:32 PM
To: § 22(1)(a)(ii) <§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@aff.gov.au>
Cc: § 22(1)(a)(ii) <§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@pmc.gov.au>; § 22(1)(a)(ii) <§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@aff.gov.au>; § 22(1)(a)(ii) <§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@aff.gov.au>
Subject: RE: decision maker for ASEL 4.0 review [SEC=OFFICIAL]

OFFICIAL

§ 22(1)(a)(ii)

RE: 'Impact Analysis will no longer be mandatorily finalised with the Office of Impact Analysis, unless it is requested by the relevant decision maker(s)'.

The changed arrangement referred to above **applies only to** Impact Analysis requirements for **decisions taken by intergovernmental decision-making bodies**.

Following our meeting on Wednesday, OIA's understanding is that policy decisions arising from the ASEL 4 Review **will not be via an intergovernmental body** –rather, the decision making is solely at the 'Australian Government level'.

Regards,

§ 22(1)(a)(ii)

§ 22(1)(a)(ii) | Adviser, Office of Impact Analysis
Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet
p. § 22(1)(a)(ii)
Ngunnawal Country, 1 National Circuit Barton ACT 2600 | PO Box 6500
CANBERRA ACT 2600
e. § 22(1)(a)(ii) <§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@pmc.gov.au> w. pmc.gov.au



The Department acknowledges and pays respect to the past, present and emerging Elders and Traditional Custodians of Country, and the continuation of cultural, spiritual and educational practices of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.

From: § 22(1)(a)(ii) <§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@aff.gov.au>
Sent: Wednesday, 24 July 2024 2:55 PM
To: § 22(1)(a)(ii) <§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@pmc.gov.au>
Cc: § 22(1)(a)(ii) <§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@pmc.gov.au>; § 22(1)(a)(ii) <§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@aff.gov.au>; § 22(1)(a)(ii) <§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@aff.gov.au>
Subject: RE: decision maker for ASEL 4.0 review [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Thanks § 22(1)(a)(ii)

Can I clarify something please, as I know my AS will ask. For the below statement from PM and C website:

'Impact Analysis will no longer be mandatorily finalised with the Office of Impact Analysis, unless it is requested by the relevant decision maker(s)'.

So does this mean that IA should (or must?) be undertaken when changing a requirement or policy, but that the IA will not need to be 'passed' by OIA unless requested by the decision maker.

Apologies, I'm still a bit unclear on this point!
Thanks for any advice you can give.

Regards

§ 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: § 22(1)(a)(ii) <§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@pmc.gov.au>
Sent: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 1:56 PM
To: § 22(1)(a)(ii) <§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@aff.gov.au>
Cc: § 22(1)(a)(ii) <§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@pmc.gov.au>; § 22(1)(a)(ii) <§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@aff.gov.au>; § 22(1)(a)(ii) <§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@aff.gov.au>
Subject: RE: decision maker for ASEL 4.0 review [SEC=OFFICIAL]

OFFICIAL

§ 22(1)(a)(ii)

Have discussed this end and can confirm that any new policy proposals (NPP) that may arise from the Review are in-scope of the IA framework (theory and practice) – 'even if' the decision maker is 'only' Departmental AS Band 1 Level.

Thanks for undertaking to send though Preliminary Assessment information for NPPs they may arise. As discussed, not necessarily the case that proposals arising will be assessed as requiring detailed IA – i.e. requirements to be determined.

Regards,

§ 22(1)(a)(ii)

§ 22(1)(a)(ii) | Adviser, Office of Impact Analysis
Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet
p. § 22(1)(a)(ii)
Ngunnawal Country, 1 National Circuit Barton ACT 2600 | PO Box 6500
CANBERRA ACT 2600
e. § 22(1)(a)(ii) <§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@pmc.gov.au> w. pmc.gov.au



The Department acknowledges and pays respect to the past, present and emerging Elders and Traditional Custodians of Country, and the continuation of cultural, spiritual and educational practices of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.

From: § 22(1)(a)(ii) <§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@aff.gov.au>

Sent: Wednesday, 24 July 2024 11:58 AM

To: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au>

Cc: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au>; s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>;
s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>

Subject: decision maker for ASEL 4.0 review [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Morning s 22(1)(a)(ii)

Many thanks for your time yesterday to step us through the IA requirement changes and how they might impact the ASEL 4 review.

I can confirm that the decision makers for last years ASEL 3.3 update were the Assistant Secretaries for Animal Welfare Branch and Live Animal Exports Branch.

We will follow the same consultation process this time, as discussed yesterday.

When we have completed internal and targeted stakeholder consultation (likely by end of Sept 2024), we will send you a Preliminary Analysis form.

In the meantime, could you please advise us (via email) if our review is inside or outside the scope of the new IA requirements.

Thanks again and regards

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

Assistant Director | Senior Veterinary Officer

Animal Welfare Branch

Plant and Live Animal Exports, Welfare and Regulation Division

Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Phone: s 22(1)(a)(ii) **Email:** s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au

Mail: GPO Box 858 CANBERRA ACT 2601

----- IMPORTANT - This email and any attachments have been issued by the Commonwealth of Australia (Commonwealth). The material transmitted is for the use of the intended recipient only and may contain confidential, legally privileged, copyright or personal information. You should not copy, use or disclose it without authorisation from the Commonwealth. It is your responsibility to check any attachments for viruses and defects before opening or forwarding them. If you are not an intended recipient, please contact the sender of this email at once by return email and then delete both messages. Unintended recipients must not copy, use, disclose, rely on or publish this email or attachments. The Commonwealth is not liable for any loss or damage resulting from unauthorised use or dissemination of, or any reliance on, this email or attachments. If you have received this e-mail as part of a valid mailing list and no longer want to receive a message such as this one, advise the sender by return e-mail accordingly. This notice should not be deleted or altered -----

IMPORTANT: This message, and any attachments to it, contains information that is confidential and may also be the subject of legal professional or other privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you must not review, copy, disseminate or disclose its contents to any other party or take action in reliance of any material contained within it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by return email informing them of the mistake and delete all copies of the

message from your computer system.

IMPORTANT: This message, and any attachments to it, contains information that is confidential and may also be the subject of legal professional or other privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you must not review, copy, disseminate or disclose its contents to any other party or take action in reliance of any material contained within it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by return email informing them of the mistake and delete all copies of the message from your computer system.

IMPORTANT: This message, and any attachments to it, contains information that is confidential and may also be the subject of legal professional or other privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you must not review, copy, disseminate or disclose its contents to any other party or take action in reliance of any material contained within it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by return email informing them of the mistake and delete all copies of the message from your computer system.

IMPORTANT: This message, and any attachments to it, contains information that is confidential and may also be the subject of legal professional or other privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you must not review, copy, disseminate or disclose its contents to any other party or take action in reliance of any material contained within it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by return email informing them of the mistake and delete all copies of the message from your computer system.

From: s 22(1)(a)(ii)
To: s 22(1)(a)(ii)
Cc: s 22(1)(a)(ii)
Subject: RE: decision maker for ASEL 4.0 review [SEC=OFFICIAL]
Date: Thursday, 25 July 2024 2:03:13 PM
Attachments: [image001.jpg](#)
[image002.jpg](#)
[image003.jpg](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image007.jpg](#)
[image008.jpg](#)
[image006.png](#)

Thanks so much s 22(1)(a)(ii) for clarifying much googling! Some said international, others commonwealth and state, so very appreciated

From: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2024 1:25 PM
To: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>
Subject: FW: decision maker for ASEL 4.0 review [SEC=OFFICIAL]

From: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2024 12:35 PM
To: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>
Cc: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au>; s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>
Subject: RE: decision maker for ASEL 4.0 review [SEC=OFFICIAL]

OFFICIAL

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

NO! – does not mean the governments of 2 different countries (but I can appreciate that ‘international’ may spring to mind when reading ‘inter’!)

Following is from (yet another) **OIA Guide: Regulatory Impact Analysis Guide for Ministers’ Meetings and National Standard Setting Bodies.**

<https://oia.pmc.gov.au/resources/guidance-impact-analysis/regulatory-impact-analysis-guide-ministers-meetings-and-national>

*Ministers’ meetings and other inter-governmental bodies facilitate cooperation, consultation and decision making between the Australian Government and state and territory and local governments in specific policy areas. These cross-jurisdictional bodies initiate, develop and monitor policy reform jointly in their areas of responsibility, and take action in the resolution of issues arising between governments. **Decisions of these bodies** are commonly given effect through laws and regulations, and ensuring their decisions are informed by evidence-based analysis undertaken in accordance with consistent and transparent principles is important.*

The above said, I’ll take this opportunity to affirm / triple check my understanding that the decision maker for any policy proposals / regulatory changes arising from the ASEL 4.0 Review is not an inter-governmental body. Rather, my understanding is the decision maker is an SES Band 1 in the Australian Government Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry (DAFF).

Thanks and regards,

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

s 22(1)(a)(ii) | Adviser, Office of Impact Analysis

Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet

p. s 22(1)(a)(ii)

Ngunnawal Country, 1 National Circuit Barton ACT 2600 | PO Box 6500
CANBERRA ACT 2600

e. s 22(1)(a)(ii) [@pmc.gov.au](mailto:s 22(1)(a)(ii)@pmc.gov.au) w. pmc.gov.au



The Department acknowledges and pays respect to the past, present and emerging Elders and Traditional Custodians of Country, and the continuation of cultural, spiritual and educational practices of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.

From: s 22(1)(a)(ii) [@aff.gov.au](mailto:s 22(1)(a)(ii)@aff.gov.au)>

Sent: Thursday, 25 July 2024 11:51 AM

To: s 22(1)(a)(ii) [@pmc.gov.au](mailto:s 22(1)(a)(ii)@pmc.gov.au)>

Cc: s 22(1)(a)(ii) [@pmc.gov.au](mailto:s 22(1)(a)(ii)@pmc.gov.au)>; s 22(1)(a)(ii) [@aff.gov.au](mailto:s 22(1)(a)(ii)@aff.gov.au)>

Subject: RE: decision maker for ASEL 4.0 review [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Hello again s 22(1)(a)(ii)

I've just met with my Director and have 1 more question from her – very sorry to be peppering you with questions!

She is confused as to what an intergovernmental decision-making body is – does this mean the governments of 2 different countries? Or some other example?

Thanks s 22(1)(a)(ii) – very grateful for your understanding and on-going explanation of this process.

Cheers

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: s 22(1)(a)(ii) [@pmc.gov.au](mailto:s 22(1)(a)(ii)@pmc.gov.au)>

Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2024 10:13 AM

To: s 22(1)(a)(ii) [@aff.gov.au](mailto:s 22(1)(a)(ii)@aff.gov.au)>

Cc: s 22(1)(a)(ii) [@pmc.gov.au](mailto:s 22(1)(a)(ii)@pmc.gov.au)>; s 22(1)(a)(ii) [@aff.gov.au](mailto:s 22(1)(a)(ii)@aff.gov.au)>

Subject: RE: decision maker for ASEL 4.0 review [SEC=OFFICIAL]

OFFICIAL

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

YES re. Australian Government level decision permits a Dep Sec letter of equivalency to be considered?

That said, note the Impact Analysis Equivalent (IAE) process is a two-step process – here is a link to relevant material on the OIA website...

<https://oia.pmc.gov.au/resources/forms-and-templates/certification-letters-seek-oias-agreement-certify-relevant-review-iae>

I've also attached to this email Template Certification Letters, and the OIA's [Impact Analysis Equivalent guidance note](#)

I have cut and pasted below some material from the link provided above and **emphasised some important points** – in particular, the need for prior agreement from the OIA (i.e. ahead of the OIA receiving a dep sec signed certification letter). Note from the template for the letter seeking prior agreement – this letter can be signed at EL2 level.

Happy to discuss

Regards,

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

The *Australian Government Guide to Policy Impact Analysis* describes some special cases in the Impact Analysis (IA) process, one of which is that an IA is not required where a review or other similar analysis has been prepared through a process equivalent to that required in the Guide and certified by Secretary, Deputy Secretary, or Chief Executive as having addressed all seven Impact Analysis questions. This approach – known as an Impact Analysis Equivalent (IAE) – aims to remove duplication between comprehensive review processes and Impact Analyses.

The process is a two stage process:

- **Seek OIA's agreement to certify relevant Review as an IAE.** Agencies may certify a document as an IAE **only with prior agreement of the OIA**. The OIA may agree to the use of an IAE if certain criteria are met. Where the criteria are not met, **the OIA may request additional analysis or advise that the Review is not suitable to be certified as an IAE**.
- **Self-assess whether the Review has followed a similar process to that required for Impact Analysis.** This self-assessment is done by way of a Secretary, Deputy Secretary, or Chief Executive certifying in writing to the OIA that the Review has met IAE requirements. **All regulatory costs must be quantified and either presented in the independent review or the certification letter**. The OIA does not assess the quality of analysis contained in the review or additional analysis, but will assess whether the problem and option(s) analysed in the IAE are relevant to the proposal, the review addresses all 7 IA questions and whether the IAE or certification letter identifies regulatory costs.

Agencies are encouraged to consult the OIA when preparing review terms of reference to ensure that each of the seven IA elements will be addressed.

Further information on this process can be found in the [Impact Analysis Equivalent guidance note](#).

From: s 22(1)(a)(ii) <[redacted]@aff.gov.au>

Sent: Thursday, 25 July 2024 9:25 AM

To: s 22(1)(a)(ii) <[redacted]@pmc.gov.au>

Cc: s 22(1)(a)(ii) <[redacted]@pmc.gov.au>; s 22(1)(a)(ii) <[redacted]@aff.gov.au>

Subject: RE: decision maker for ASEL 4.0 review [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Thanks so much for this explanation § 22(1)(a)(ii)

So, does this mean that an Australian Government level decision permits a Dep Sec letter of equivalency to be considered?

Thanks again and regards

§ 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: § 22(1)(a)(ii) <[§ 22\(1\)\(a\)\(ii\)@pmc.gov.au](mailto:§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@pmc.gov.au)>
Sent: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 3:32 PM
To: § 22(1)(a)(ii) <[§ 22\(1\)\(a\)\(ii\)@aff.gov.au](mailto:§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@aff.gov.au)>
Cc: § 22(1)(a)(ii) <[§ 22\(1\)\(a\)\(ii\)@pmc.gov.au](mailto:§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@pmc.gov.au)>; § 22(1)(a)(ii) <[§ 22\(1\)\(a\)\(ii\)@aff.gov.au](mailto:§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@aff.gov.au)>; § 22(1)(a)(ii) <[§ 22\(1\)\(a\)\(ii\)@aff.gov.au](mailto:§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@aff.gov.au)>
Subject: RE: decision maker for ASEL 4.0 review [SEC=OFFICIAL]

OFFICIAL

§ 22(1)(a)(ii)

RE: 'Impact Analysis will no longer be mandatorily finalised with the Office of Impact Analysis, unless it is requested by the relevant decision maker(s)'.

The changed arrangement referred to above **applies only to** Impact Analysis requirements for **decisions taken by intergovernmental decision-making bodies**.

Following our meeting on Wednesday, OIA's understanding is that policy decisions arising from the ASEL 4 Review **will not be via an intergovernmental body** –rather, the decision making is solely at the 'Australian Government level'.

Regards,

§ 22(1)(a)(ii)

§ 22(1)(a)(ii) | Adviser, Office of Impact Analysis
Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet
p. § 22(1)(a)(ii)
Ngunnawal Country, 1 National Circuit Barton ACT 2600 | PO Box 6500
CANBERRA ACT 2600
e. § 22(1)(a)(ii) <[§ 22\(1\)\(a\)\(ii\)@pmc.gov.au](mailto:§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@pmc.gov.au)> w. pmc.gov.au



The Department acknowledges and pays respect to the past, present and emerging Elders and Traditional Custodians of Country, and the continuation of cultural, spiritual and educational practices of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.

From: § 22(1)(a)(ii) <[§ 22\(1\)\(a\)\(ii\)@aff.gov.au](mailto:§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@aff.gov.au)>
Sent: Wednesday, 24 July 2024 2:55 PM
To: § 22(1)(a)(ii) <[§ 22\(1\)\(a\)\(ii\)@pmc.gov.au](mailto:§ 22(1)(a)(ii)@pmc.gov.au)>

Cc: s 22(1)(a)(ii) [redacted]@pmc.gov.au>; s 22(1)(a)(ii) [redacted]@aff.gov.au>;
s 22(1)(a)(ii) [redacted]@aff.gov.au>

Subject: RE: decision maker for ASEL 4.0 review [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Thanks s 22(1)(a)(ii) [redacted]

Can I clarify something please, as I know my AS will ask. For the below statement from PM and C website:

'Impact Analysis will no longer be mandatorily finalised with the Office of Impact Analysis, unless it is requested by the relevant decision maker(s)'.

So does this mean that IA should (or must?) be undertaken when changing a requirement or policy, but that the IA will not need to be 'passed' by OIA unless requested by the decision maker.

Apologies, I'm still a bit unclear on this point!
Thanks for any advice you can give.

Regards

s 22(1)(a)(ii) [redacted]

From: s 22(1)(a)(ii) [redacted]@pmc.gov.au>
Sent: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 1:56 PM
To: s 22(1)(a)(ii) [redacted]@aff.gov.au>
Cc: s 22(1)(a)(ii) [redacted]@pmc.gov.au>; s 22(1)(a)(ii) [redacted]@aff.gov.au>;
s 22(1)(a)(ii) [redacted]@aff.gov.au>
Subject: RE: decision maker for ASEL 4.0 review [SEC=OFFICIAL]

OFFICIAL

s 22(1)(a)(ii) [redacted]

Have discussed this end and can confirm that any new policy proposals (NPP) that may arise from the Review are in-scope of the IA framework (theory and practice) – 'even if the decision maker is 'only' Departmental AS Band 1 Level.

Thanks for undertaking to send though Preliminary Assessment information for NPPs they may arise. As discussed, not necessarily the case that proposals arising will be assessed as requiring detailed IA – i.e. requirements to be determined.

Regards,

s 22(1)(a)(ii) [redacted]

s 22(1)(a)(ii) [redacted] | Adviser, Office of Impact Analysis
Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet
p. s 22(1)(a)(ii) [redacted]
Ngunnawal Country, 1 National Circuit Barton ACT 2600 | PO Box 6500
CANBERRA ACT 2600
e. s 22(1)(a)(ii) [redacted]@pmc.gov.au w. pmc.gov.au



The Department acknowledges and pays respect to the past, present and emerging Elders



and Traditional Custodians of Country, and the continuation of cultural, spiritual and educational practices of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.

From: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>
Sent: Wednesday, 24 July 2024 11:58 AM
To: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au>
Cc: s 22(1)(a)(ii) @pmc.gov.au>; s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>;
s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au>
Subject: decision maker for ASEL 4.0 review [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Morning s 22(1)(a)(ii)

Many thanks for your time yesterday to step us through the IA requirement changes and how they might impact the ASEL 4 review.

I can confirm that the decision makers for last years ASEL 3.3 update were the Assistant Secretaries for Animal Welfare Branch and Live Animal Exports Branch.

We will follow the same consultation process this time, as discussed yesterday.

When we have completed internal and targeted stakeholder consultation (likely by end of Sept 2024), we will send you a Preliminary Analysis form.

In the meantime, could you please advise us (via email) if our review is inside or outside the scope of the new IA requirements.

Thanks again and regards

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

Assistant Director | Senior Veterinary Officer

Animal Welfare Branch

Plant and Live Animal Exports, Welfare and Regulation Division

Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

Phone: +s 22(1)(a)(ii) **Email:** s 22(1)(a)(ii) @aff.gov.au
Mail: GPO Box 858 CANBERRA ACT 2601

----- IMPORTANT - This email and any attachments have been issued by the Commonwealth of Australia (Commonwealth). The material transmitted is for the use of the intended recipient only and may contain confidential, legally privileged, copyright or personal information. You should not copy, use or disclose it without authorisation from the Commonwealth. It is your responsibility to check any attachments for viruses and defects before opening or forwarding them. If you are not an intended recipient, please contact the sender of this email at once by return email and then delete both messages. Unintended recipients must not copy, use, disclose, rely on or publish this email or attachments. The Commonwealth is not liable for any loss or damage resulting from unauthorised use or dissemination of, or any reliance on, this email or attachments. If you have received this e-mail as part of a valid mailing list and no longer want to receive a message such as this one, advise the sender by return e-mail accordingly. This notice should not be deleted or altered -----

IMPORTANT: This message, and any attachments to it, contains information that is confidential and may also be the subject of legal professional or other privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you must not review, copy, disseminate or disclose its contents to any other party or take action in reliance of any material contained within it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by return email informing them of the mistake and delete all copies of the message from your computer system.

IMPORTANT: This message, and any attachments to it, contains information that is confidential and may also be the subject of legal professional or other privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you must not review, copy, disseminate or disclose its contents to any other party or take action in reliance of any material contained within it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by return email informing them of the mistake and delete all copies of the message from your computer system.

IMPORTANT: This message, and any attachments to it, contains information that is confidential and may also be the subject of legal professional or other privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you must not review, copy, disseminate or disclose its contents to any other party or take action in reliance of any material contained within it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by return email informing them of the mistake and delete all copies of the message from your computer system.

IMPORTANT: This message, and any attachments to it, contains information that is confidential and may also be the subject of legal professional or other privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you must not review, copy, disseminate or disclose its contents to any other party or take action in reliance of any material contained within it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by return email informing them of the mistake and delete all copies of the message from your computer system.
