


Australian Public Service Employee Census 2020




12 October–13 November

Highlights Report **PM&C**



CONTENT						
	Page					
Making the most of your results	2					
Employee Engagement: Say, Stay, Strive	3					
Demographics	4					
Senior Leadership	7					
Immediate Supervisor	9					
Workplace Culture	10					
Inclusion and Wellbeing	14					
Wellbeing Index	17					
Workplace Conditions	18					
Workgroup Performance	19					
Productivity and Ways of Working	20					
Time to Take Action	22					
Guide to this Report	23					





MAKING THE MOST OF YOUR RESULTS



01.

Identify the areas where you are performing well.

These will tend to be high results which are notably above any comparative results. These should be celebrated. Share the good news with employees.

Understanding your report and getting to action!

The results in this report give you summary information.

Take the time to fully understand this report and digest the results.

Consider your response rate and if it is representative of the views of your colleagues.

Identify areas that need improvement.



These will be the lower results, and/or those which are scoring notably below your comparators. Consider discussing these areas with your colleagues in focus groups or individually or team meetings, gather their thoughts and solutions before deciding on actions to take.



Consider if there is actually room for improvement.

This report shows the proportion of colleagues responding positively (strongly agree + agree), neutrally (neither agree nor disagree) or negatively (disagree + strongly disagree) to the question asked in the survey. Look at how your positive scores compare to your parent unit, and your last survey's results.

04.

Take action – think 'quick wins', short term and long term.

05.

Consider the impact of high neutral responses (lots of employees ticking 'neither agree nor disagree')

Ask your colleagues about their views to find out what is causing this. More communication and involvement may help to shift them to a positive frame of mind. Encourage all colleagues to help with action planning and implementation.

Think about what you want employees to be saying about their working lives in the future and what should be put in place to make this happen.






EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT: SAY, STAY, STRIVE

0

HOW ENGAGED IS YOUR TEAM?

ENGAGEMENT SCORES AREN'T JUST ABOUT HOW MUCH PEOPLE LIKE WORKING FOR AN AGENCY. IT IS A MEASURE OF THE EMOTIONAL CONNECTION AND COMMITMENT EMPLOYEES HAVE TO WORKING FOR THE AGENCY.

\bigcirc	YOUR EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT 79 %	RESPONSE SCA	ALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2019	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
	SCORE				+6 🔂	+5 🗘	+5 🔂	+4
	Overall, I am satisfied with my job	84	9	84%	+12 🖸	+90	+8 🔂	+9 🔂
SAY	I am proud to work in my agency	88	9	88%	+15 🔂	+11 🖸	+12 🔂	+10 🔂
S	I would recommend my agency as a good place to work	84	12	84%	+20 🖸	+14 🖸	+11 🕢	+12 🔂
	I believe strongly in the purpose and objectives of my agency	86	12	86%	+4	+4	+5 🔂	+2
STAY	I feel a strong personal attachment to my agency	70	20 10	70%	+13 🖸	+4	+8 🗘	+4
ST	I feel committed to my agency's goals	85	12	85%	+4	+2	+4	+2
	I suggest ideas to improve our way of doing things	92		92%	+4	+7 😡	+4	+5 🕜
STRIVE	I am happy to go the 'extra mile' at work when required	97		97 %	+3	+4	+2	+3
STR	I work beyond what is required in my job to help my agency achieve its objectives	89	9	89%	+7 🔂	+6 🔂	+5 🔂	+5 🔂
	My agency really inspires me to do my best work every day	71	22 8	71 %	+17 🔂	+14 🖸	+15 🔂	+14 🔂
KEY		AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE PO COMPARATOR	INTS LESS THAI	N	Pc	ositive Neutral	Negative	



DEMOGRAPHICS

Australian Government

Australian Public Service Commission



EXPLORE THE FULL RESULTS

	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2019	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANC FROM LAR SIZED AGENCIE
/hat is your gender?						
Male		32 %	+1	-4	-3	-4
Female		66%	+1	+6 🖸	+5 🖸	+6
X (Indeterminate/Intersex/Unspecified)		0%	0	0	0	0
Prefer not to say		2%	-2	-2	-2	-2
	Strait Islander?		12	1	2	1
o you identify as Aboriginal and/or Torres	Strait Islander?	2%	-12 👁	-1	-2	-1
/es	Strait Islander?		-12 O +12 O	-1 +1	-2 +2	-1 +1
	Strait Islander?	2%				
Yes No o you have an ongoing disability?	Strait Islander?	2%				
Yes	Strait Islander?	2% 98%	+12 🖸	+1	+2	+1


DEMOGRAPHICS




0		RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2019	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES			
EXPLORE	Do you have carer responsibilities?									
THE FULL RESULTS	Yes		36%	-6 🔮	-5 🕑	-6 😍	-6 \mathbf			
	No		64 %	+6 🔂	+5 🛇	+6 🔂	+6 🚱			
EMPLOYEES WHO INDICATED	Since 27 February 2020, have you worked on tasks or activities directly related to COVID-19?									
THAT THEY HAD WORKED ON TASKS OR ACTIVITIES DIRECTLY RELATED TO COVID- 19 WERE ASKED TO DESCRIBE	Yes		63 %	-	+14 🖸	+5 🔂	+15 🔂			
THE TYPE OF WORK. EMPLOYEES COULD SELECT ONE OR MORE RESPONSES FROM A LIST OF ITEMS.	No		37 %	-	-14 🕑	-5 🕑	-15 👁			
	What form did this work take? [Multiple Response]									
	Working in a different team within your agency on work dedicated to the COVID-19 response and related activities (e.g. a COVID-19 taskforce)		24%	-	+2	+1	+2			
	Working in a different agency on work dedicated to the COVID-19 response and related activities (e.g. APS2000 surge workforce)		10%	-	+5 🖸	+1	+3			
	Working on COVID-19 related work in my usual role		78 %	-	-2	0	-2			
	Other		3 %	-	-2	0	-1			
	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POR THAN COMPARATOR	INTS GREATER		COMPARATO	PERCENTAGE POIN DR	ITS LESS THAN			



DEMOGRAPHICS





SENIOR LEADERSHIP

EXPLORE THE FULL RESULTS

6

FOR EACH QUESTION SHOWN HERE, INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPORTION OF COLLEAGUES RESPONDING POSITIVELY (STRONGLY AGREE + AGREE), NEUTRALLY (NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE) OR NEGATIVELY (DISAGREE + STRONGLY DISAGREE) IS PROVIDED

LOOK AT HOW YOUR POSITIVE SCORE COMPARES TO THE AVAILABLE COMPARISONS.

WHERE ARE YOU PERFORMING WELL?

IS THERE ROOM FOR IMPROVEMENT?

KEY

	RESPONSE S	CALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2019	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
My SES manager communicates effectively	77	15 8	77%	+5 🖸	+8 🗘	+1	+4
My SES manager ensures that work effort contributes to the strategic direction of the agency and the APS	80	14	80%	+4	+90	+2	+5 🔂
My SES manager effectively leads and manages change	71	20 9	71 %	+4	+8 🗘	+2	+5 🔂
My SES manager gives their time to identify and develop talented people	61	27 12	61 %	+3	+13 🔂	+6 🔂	+9 🔂
My SES manager clearly articulates the direction and priorities for our area	71	18 11	71 %	0	+5 🖸	0	+2
My SES manager promotes cooperation within and between agencies	80	15	80%	-	+16 🔂	+7 🖸	+12 🖸

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

Positive Neutral Negative

O



SENIOR LEADERSHIP

0			RE	ESPONSE SO	CALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2019	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE	SES	In my agency, communication between the SES and other employees is effective		67	21 12	67 %	+14 🔂	+12 🖸	+6 🗘	+9 🔂
THE FULL RESULTS	ship: All	In my agency, the SES actively contribute to the work of our agency		84	13	84%	+10 🔂	+17 🖸	+7 🕢	+11 🖸
FOR EACH QUESTION SHOWN HERE, INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPORTION OF	Senior Leadership: All	In my agency, the SES work as a team		63	26 11	63%	+13 🖸	+11 🖸	+6 🖸	+80
COLLEAGUES RESPONDING POSITIVELY (STRONGLY AGREE + AGREE), NEUTRALLY (NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE) OR NEGATIVELY (DISAGREE + STRONGLY DISAGREE) IS PROVIDED	Seni	In my agency, the SES clearly articulate the direction and priorities for our agency		72	20 8	72 %	+12 🕢	+9 O	+5 0	+7 🕢
LOOK AT HOW YOUR POSITIVE SCORE COMPARES TO THE AVAILABLE COMPARISONS.										
WHERE ARE YOU PERFORMING WELL?										
IS THERE ROOM FOR IMPROVEMENT?									Noutral No]
	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR	0	AT LEAST 5 PERC COMPARATOR	ENTAGE POINTS L	ESS THAN		Positive	Neutral Negativ	e



IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR

EXPLORE THE FULL RESULTS

6

FOR EACH QUESTION SHOWN HERE, INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPORTION OF COLLEAGUES RESPONDING POSITIVELY (STRONGLY AGREE + AGREE), NEUTRALLY (NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE) OR NEGATIVELY (DISAGREE + STRONGLY DISAGREE) IS PROVIDED

LOOK AT HOW YOUR POSITIVE SCORE COMPARES TO THE AVAILABLE COMPARISONS.

WHERE ARE YOU PERFORMING WELL?

IS THERE ROOM FOR IMPROVEMENT?

KEY

My supervisor communicates effectively 86 9 86% +90 +50 +4	+4
My supervisor displays resilience when faced with 90 7 90% +80 +70 +50	+6 🔂
My supervisor engages with staff on how to respond to future challenges 85 10 85 % - +6• +5•	+5 🖸
My supervisor can deliver difficult advice whilst 83 11 83% - +6• +5•	+6 🔂
E My supervisor encourages my team to regularly review and improve our work 84 10 84% - +4 +4	+4
My supervisor actively seeks feedback 68 21 11 68 % - +2 +3	+2

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

Positive Neutral Negative

O



EXPLORE THE FULL RESULTS

6

FOR EACH QUESTION SHOWN HERE, INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPORTION OF COLLEAGUES RESPONDING POSITIVELY (STRONGLY AGREE + AGREE), NEUTRALLY (NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE) OR NEGATIVELY (DISAGREE + STRONGLY DISAGREE) IS PROVIDED

		10010
POS	SITIVE SCOP	RE
COI	MPARES TO	THE
AV	AILABLE	
COI	MPARISONS	5.

WHERE ARE YOU PERFORMING WELL?

IS THERE ROOM FOR IMPROVEMENT?

KEY

		RESPONSE	SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2019	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
	Staff are consulted about change at work	53	35 11	53%	+4	+5 🔂	+5 🔂	+6 🔂
	Internal communication within my agency is effective	72	20 8	72 %	+21	+14 🔂	+12 🕥	+14 🔂
	Internal communication within my agency is regular	88	8	88%	+12 🔂	+10 🔂	+7 🕥	+8
	I understand how my role contributes to achieving an outcome for the Australian public	91		91%	+4	0	+1	0
Culture	I can see a clear connection between my job and my agency's purpose	86	9	86%	-	0	+1	0
	I believe strongly in the purpose and objectives of the APS	90	9	90%	+5 🔂	+5 🖸	+3	+4
	I feel a strong personal attachment to the APS	69	23 8	69%	-	+5 🖸	+50	+6 🔂
	My agency inspires me to come up with new or better ways of doing things	70	21 9	70%	+20 🖸	+12 🖸	+14 🖸	+13 🕥
	To what extent do you agree that crises such as the 2019–20 bushfires and COVID-19 clarified your sense of purpose in working for the APS?	69	25	69%	-	+70	+8 🗘	+10 🕥

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

Positive Neutral Negative

Australian Government
Australian Public Service Commission

O

0	Discrimination	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2019	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE	During the last 12 months and in the course of your e discrimination on the basis of your background or a p						
THE FULL RESULTS	Yes		9%	-6 🔮	-2	-2	-2
	No		91 %	+6 🖸	+2	+2	+2
EMPLOYEES WHO HAD PERCEIVED DISCRIMINATION IN	Did this discrimination occur in your current agency?						
THE LAST 12 MONTHS IN THE COURSE OF THEIR EMPLOYMENT WERE ASKED WHAT THE BASIS WAS FOR	Yes		71 %	-17 🕑	-21 🔮	-16 😍	-19 🔮
THE DISCRIMINATION. EMPLOYEES COULD SELECT ONE OR MORE RESPONSES FROM A LIST OF ITEMS.	No		29 %	+17 🖸	+21	+16 🖸	+19 🔂
	Basis for the discrimination that you experienced (3 I	highest responses):					
	Gender		45 %	-	-	-	-
ONLY THE THREE TYPES OF DISCRIMINATION WITH THE	Caring responsibilities		25 %	-	-	-	-
HIGHEST PROPORTION OF RESPONSES ARE PRESENTED HERE. THESE MAY VARY BETWEEN AGENCIES, WORK UNITS AND WITH RESULTS	Age		25 %	-	-	-	-
FOR THE APS OVERALL.							
]
	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE PO THAN COMPARATOR	INTS GREATER		COMPARATO	PERCENTAGE POIN DR	TS LESS THAN



0	Bullying and harassment	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2019	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE	During the last 12 months, have you been subjected to workplace?	o harassment or bullying in your current					
THE FULL RESULTS	Yes		9%	-4	-3	-2	-2
	No		86%	+50	+5 🖸	+4	+4
EMPLOYEES WHO PERCEIVED	Not Sure		5 %	-1	-2	-2	-2
HARASSMENT OR BULLYING IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS WERE ASKED WHAT TYPE OF HARASSMENT OR BULLYING THEY EXPERIENCED AND WHO	Types of harassment or bullying experienced (3 high	est responses):					
WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR IT. EMPLOYEES COULD SELECT ONE OR MORE RESPONSES FROM A LIST OF ITEMS.	Verbal abuse (e.g. offensive language, derogatory remarks, shouting or screaming)		54 %	-	-	-	-
	Interference with work tasks (e.g. withholding needed information, undermining or sabotage)		54 %	-	-	-	-
	Inappropriate and unfair application of work policies or rule (e.g. performance management, access to leave, access to learning and development)	S	27 %	-	-	-	-
ONLY THE THREE OPTIONS WITH THE HIGHEST PROPORTION OF RESPONSES ARE PRESENTED HERE. THESE MAY VARY BETWEEN AGENCIES, WORK UNITS AND WITH RESULTS FOR THE APS OVERALL.							
	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POI THAN COMPARATOR	NTS GREATER		O AT LEAST 5 COMPARATO	PERCENTAGE POIN DR	ITS LESS THAN



0	Corruption	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2019	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE	Excluding behaviour reported to you as part of your o witnessed another APS employee in your agency eng may be serious enough to be viewed as corruption?						
THE FULL RESULTS	Yes		2%	-2	-1	0	-1
	No		94%	+5 🖸	+4	+3	+3
	Not sure		3%	-1	-1	-1	-1
EMPLOYEES WHO INDICATED THAT THEY HAD WITNESSED POTENTIAL CORRUPT BEHAVIOUR WERE ASKED TO	Would prefer not to answer		0%	-2	-2	-1	-2
DESCRIBE THE BEHAVIOUR. EMPLOYEES COULD SELECT ONE OR MORE RESPONSES FROM A LIST OF ITEMS.	Types of corrupt behaviours witnessed (3 highest res	ponses):					
	Cronyism-preferential treatment of friends, such as appointing them to positions without proper regard to meri	t	90%	-	-	-	-
	Acting (or failing to act) in the presence of an undisclosed conflict of interest		29%	-	-	-	-
ONLY THE THREE TYPES OF	Other		29%	-	-	-	-
CORRUPT BEHAVIOURS WITH THE HIGHEST PROPORTION OF RESPONSES ARE PRESENTED HERE. THESE MAY VARY BETWEEN AGENCIES AND WITH RESULTS FOR THE APS OVERALL.							
	КЕҮ	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE PO THAN COMPARATOR	INTS GREATER	(D AT LEAST 5 F COMPARATO	ERCENTAGE POIN R	TS LESS THAN



INCLUSION AND WELLBEING

VARIANCE

%

VARIANCE

FROM APS

VARIANCE

FROM POLICY

AGENCIES

+4

+2

+4

+3

U			F	ESPONSE SC	ALE	POSITIVE	FROM 2019	OVERALL
		My agency supports and actively promotes an inclusive workplace culture		87	9	87 %	+7 🔂	+6 🔂
THE FULL RESULTS	dinal	I have a choice in deciding how I do my work		68	25	68%	+4	+8 🔂
FOR EACH QUESTION SHOWN HERE, INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPORTION OF	Attitudinal	I receive the respect I deserve from my colleagues at work		85	11	85%	+90	+6 🔂
COLLEAGUES RESPONDING POSITIVELY (STRONGLY AGREE + AGREE), NEUTRALLY		I am clear what my duties and responsibilities are		83	13	83%	+4	+2
(NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE) OR NEGATIVELY (DISAGREE + STRONGLY DISAGREE) IS PROVIDED								
LOOK AT HOW YOUR POSITIVE SCORE COMPARES TO THE AVAILABLE COMPARISONS.								
WHERE ARE YOU PERFORMING WELL?								
IS THERE ROOM FOR								
IMPROVEMENT?	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THA COMPARATOR	• •	AT LEAST 5 PERCE COMPARATOR	NTAGE POINTS I	ESS THAN		Positive I

Positive Neutral Negative

VARIANCE

FROM LARGE

SIZED

AGENCIES

+4

+1

+4

+3

2020 APS employee census

0



INCLUSION AND WELLBEING

VARIANCE VARIANCE VARIANCE **RESPONSE SCALE** % FROM POLICY FROM APS FROM 2019 OVERALL AGENCIES To what extent is your work emotionally demanding? **EXPLORE** THE FULL 7% -1 0 To a very large extent -RESULTS 20% -2 -1 To a large extent -41% +2 +2 Somewhat _ 23% +2 0 To a small extent -9% 0 -1 To a very small extent -I feel burned out by my work. 9% Strongly agree -1 -1 _ 29% +2 0 Agree -33% -2 -1 Neither agree nor disagree -25% Disagree +1 +1 -5% 0 0 Strongly disagree -AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN KEY \mathbf{O} O THAN COMPARATOR COMPARATOR



VARIANCE

FROM LARGE

SIZED

AGENCIES

-1

-2

+3

+1

-1

-2

+1

-1

+2

0

0

INCLUSION AND WELLBEING

0	RE	SPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2019	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	FROM LARC SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE	Has there been a change in your general health and wellbe 2020)?	ing since COVID-19 (27 February					
THE FULL RESULTS	Very positive change		3%	-	-1	0	0
	Positive change		17 %	-	0	0	0
	No change		52 %	-	+5 🛇	+6 🔂	+70
	Negative change		26%	-	-3	-6 🔮	-5 🔮
	Very negative change		2%	-	-1	-1	-1
	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE PO THAN COMPARATOR	INTS GREATER		D AT LEAST 5 COMPARATO	PERCENTAGE POIN DR	TS LESS THAN




VARIANCE


FROM LARGE

AGENCIES

WELLBEING INDEX



PAGE 17.



WORKPLACE CONDITIONS

EXPLORE THE FULL RESULTS

6

COLLEAGUES RESPONDING POSITIVELY (STRONGLY AGREE + AGREE), NEUTRALLY (NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE) OR NEGATIVELY (DISAGREE + STRONGLY DISAGREE) IS PROVIDED

		RESPONSE SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2019	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
	My job gives me opportunities to utilise my skills	91	91%	+8 🗘	+6 🔂	+4	+5 🔂
doį .	l am fairly remunerated (e.g. salary, superannuation) for the work that I do	82 12	82 %	+5 🔂	+15 🖸	+5 🕶	+8 🔂
Your job	I am satisfied with my non-monetary employment conditions (e.g. leave, flexible work arrangements, other benefits)	86 8	86%	+6 🔂	+7 🔂	+5 🕶	+5 🔂
	I am satisfied with the stability and security of my job	88 8	88%	+11 🖸	+8 🗘	+3	+9 🔂

LOOK AT HOW YOUR POSITIVE SCORE COMPARES TO THE AVAILABLE COMPARISONS.

WHERE ARE YOU PERFORMING WELL?

IS THERE ROOM FOR IMPROVEMENT?

KEY

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR Positive Neutral Negative

O



WORKGROUP PERFORMANCE

0			RESPONSE SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2019	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
		When changes occur, the impacts are communicated well within my workgroup	76 13 <mark>10</mark>	76%	-	+10 🔂	+8 🗘	+9 🗘
THE FULL RESULTS		The people in my workgroup cooperate to get the job done	93	93%	+9 🔂	+7 🖸	+5 🖸	+6 🔂
FOR EACH QUESTION SHOWN HERE, INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPORTION OF	performance	My workgroup can readily adapt to new priorities and tasks	95	95%	-	+90	+8 🔂	+90
COLLEAGUES RESPONDING POSITIVELY (STRONGLY AGREE + AGREE), NEUTRALLY		My workgroup has the appropriate skills, capabilities and knowledge to perform well	91	91%	+16 🔂	+90	+8•	+9 🗘
(NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE) OR NEGATIVELY (DISAGREE + STRONGLY DISAGREE) IS PROVIDED	Workgroup	My workgroup has the tools and resources we need to perform well	80 11 9	80%	+18 🔂	+15 🔂	+14 🔂	+17 🔂
		The people in my workgroup use time and resources efficiently	85 9	85%	+16 🔂	+80	+7 🔂	+7 🔂
LOOK AT HOW YOUR POSITIVE SCORE COMPARES TO THE AVAILABLE COMPARISONS.		My supervisor ensures that my workgroup delivers on what we are responsible for	91	91%	+10 🕢	+7 🖸	+5 🛛	+6 🛇
WHERE ARE YOU PERFORMING WELL?								
IS THERE ROOM FOR IMPROVEMENT?	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS	LESS THAN		Positive	Neutral Negative	e

2020 APS employee census

PAGE 19.



PRODUCTIVITY AND WAYS OF WORKING

0	R	ESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2019	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE	How has your productivity changed since COVID-19 (Sinc	e 27 February 2020)?					
THE FULL RESULTS	Significantly improved		10%	-	-2	-1	-2
	Improved		40%	-	+3	+1	+2
	No change		44 %	-	+2	+2	+3
	Reduced		5 %	-	-3	-1	-2
	Significantly reduced		1%	-	-1	0	0
	What best describes your current workload?						
	Well above capacity - too much work		18%	-	-1	-4	-5 🔮
	Slightly above capacity - lots of work to do		47 %	-	+7 🖸	+4	+4
	At capacity – about the right amount of work to do		27 %	-	-5 🕑	0	0
	Slightly below capacity - available for more work		6%	-	-1	0	0
	Below capacity - not enough work		2%	-	0	+1	+1
	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE PO THAN COMPARATOR	INTS GREATER		D AT LEAST 5 F	PERCENTAGE POIN PR	TS LESS THAN



PRODUCTIVITY AND WAYS OF WORKING

0			F	RESPONSE SC	ALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2019	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
EXPLORE THE FULL		My workgroup successfully adapts to new ways of working when required (e.g. in response to crises such as COVID-19)		93		93%	-	+3	+3	+2
RESULTS	change	My workgroup has used the COVID-19 crisis to improve the way we work		74	21	74 %	-	+90	+8 🗘	+7 🔂
FOR EACH QUESTION SHOWN HERE, INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPORTION OF	Responding to c	My agency quickly adapts and responds to changing priorities (e.g. in response to crises such as COVID-19)		90	8	90%	-	+10 🔂	+11 🖸	+11 🖸
COLLEAGUES RESPONDING POSITIVELY (STRONGLY AGREE + AGREE), NEUTRALLY	Respo	My agency is taking actions to maintain changed ways of working implemented during the COVID- 19 crisis		80	15	80%	-	+16 🖸	+20 🗘	+17 🔂
(NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE) OR NEGATIVELY (DISAGREE + STRONGLY DISAGREE) IS PROVIDED		My immediate SES manager supports embedding changed ways of working implemented during the COVID-19 crisis		77	17	77%	-	+12 🖸	+9 🖸	+9 🖸
LOOK AT HOW YOUR POSITIVE SCORE COMPARES TO THE AVAILABLE COMPARISONS.										
WHERE ARE YOU PERFORMING WELL?										
IS THERE ROOM FOR										
IMPROVEMENT?	KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THA COMPARATOR	∾ 🕐	AT LEAST 5 PERCE COMPARATOR	NTAGE POINTS	LESS THAN		Positive	Neutral Negative	2



TIME TO TAKE ACTION

CELEBRATE	Q INVESTIGATE FURTHER WITH OUR TEAMS	
What things do we do well?	Are there any other opportunities coming out of the results that we want to explore further?	Areas we need to focus on and turn into action plans:
THINK ABOUT HOW WE CAN BUILD ON OUR STRENGTHS AND LEARN FROM WHAT WE ARE GOOD AT.	HOW COULD WE INVESTIGATE? THROUGH LOOKING AT THE DATA IN MORE DETAIL OR THROUGH DISCUSSIONS WITH STAFF?	WHAT ARE THE KEY THINGS WE NEED TO IMPROVE TO MAKE WORKING HERE BETTER?

0	PRIORITISE 3 AREAS FOR ACTION	TIMESCALES	OWNER	RESOURCES REQUIRED	TARGET/SUCCESS MEASURE
USE THIS PAGE TO START YOUR LOCAL	1				
ACTION PLANS	2				
CELEBRATE, OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT AND AREAS WHICH YOU NEED TO INVESTIGATE FURTHER.	3				
PRIORITISE 3 AREAS TO TAKE FORWARD					



GUIDE TO THIS REPORT

% POSITIVE

WHERE RESULTS ARE SHOWN AS POSITIVE PERCENTAGES (% POSITIVE), THESE ARE CALCULATED BY ADDING TOGETHER POSITIVE RESPONSES ("STRONGLY AGREE" + "AGREE") AND DIVIDING BY THE NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS WHO ANSWERED THE QUESTION.

ROUNDING

RESULTS ARE PRESENTED AS WHOLE NUMBERS FOR EASE OF READING, WITH ROUNDING PERFORMED AT THE LAST STAGE OF CALCULATION FOR MAXIMUM ACCURACY. VALUES FROM X.00 TO X.49 ARE ROUNDED DOWN AND VALUES FROM X.50 TO X.99 ARE ROUNDED UP. THEREFORE IN SOME INSTANCES, RESULTS MAY NOT TOTAL 100%.

	STRONGLY AGREE	AGREE	NEITHER	DISAGREE	STRONGLY DISAGREE	TOTAL		
NUMBER OF RESPONSES	151	166	176	96	24	613		
PERCENTAGE	24.63%	27.08%	28.71%	15.66%	3.92%	100%		
ROUNDED PERCENTAGE	25%	27%	29%	16%	4%	101%		
NUMBER OF POSITIVE	151 + 166	= 317						
% POSITIVE	317 ÷ 613 = 52%							


ANONYMITY

IT IS ENGINE'S PRACTICE NOT TO DISPLAY THE RESULTS OF GROUPS OF RESPONDENTS TO THE EXTENT WHERE THE ANONYMITY OF INDIVIDUALS MAY BE COMPROMISED. RESULTS WILL NOT BE SHOWN WHERE THERE ARE LESS THAN 10 RESPONDENTS IN A GROUP.

COMPARISONS WITH RESULTS FROM PREVIOUS YEARS

THE METHOD OF ANALYSING AND REPORTING SPECIFIC RESULTS MAY BE PERIODICALLY REVIEWED AND REVISED. SUCH IMPROVEMENTS ARE APPLIED TO CURRENT DATA AND THAT OF PREVIOUS YEARS. FOR THIS REASON THE CURRENT REPORT IS ALWAYS THE MOST ACCURATE DATA SOURCE FOR APS EMPLOYEE CENSUS RESULTS, INCLUDING COMPARISONS WITH TIME SERIES DATA.





number of respondents who answered the question

=

% POSITIVE